FILLER

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT TO STATES DISTRICT COURT AT PROPERCY OF MENOLEMENT AND A PROPERCY MENOLEMENT OF THE PROPERCY OF THE PROPER

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

AUG 31 2000

DEANNA TOWNSON,

**Plaintiffs** 

CLEAK

VS.

CIVIL NO. 00-347 BB/KBM

WAL-MART, et al.,

Defendants.

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

THIS MATTER is before the Court sua sponte.

#### I. BACKGROUND

On August 9, 2000, the Clerk of Court sent a letter to Plaintiff putting her on notice that, unless service was effected upon the defendants within eleven days of the date of the Clerk's letter, the Plaintiff's case would be dismissed without prejudice. The Clerk also indicated that 175 days had elapsed from the filing date of the complaint to the date of the letter, and that Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(m) allows only 120 days within which a complaint is to be served on a defendant. To date, the Court record reflects that the defendants have not been served with the complaint.

#### II. ANALYSIS

Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(m) provides in relevant part that:

If service of the summons and complaint is not made upon a defendant within 120 days after the filing of the complaint, the court, upon motion or on its own initiative after notice to the Plaintiff, shall dismiss the action without prejudice as to that defendant . . . .



Case 1:00-cv-00347-BB-KBM Document 2 Filed 08/31/00 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff was notified after 175 days that her lawsuit would be dismissed unless service was effected within eleven days of the date of the Clerk's notification letter. Today's date is August 31, 2000. Twenty-two days have elapsed since the Clerk's notification letter, and the record reflects that the Plaintiff has failed to comply with that letter.

Furthermore, the court has the inherent power to impose a variety of sanctions on litigants in order to regulate its docket, promote judicial efficiency, and deter frivolous filings. Martinez v. Internal Revenue Service, 744 F.2d 71, 73 (10th Cir. 1984). One such sanction within the discretionary authority of the Court is to dismiss an action for want of prosecution. Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30 (1962).

Based on the Plaintiff's lack of action in this case, her complaint shall be dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution.

### WHEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED that this cause of action be, and hereby is, DISMISSED without Dated at Albuquerque this 3,5t day of 4, 2000. prejudice.

ITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE